

---

#### BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY HERE GATHERED THAT:

WHEREAS,	At the October 2018 General Assembly meeting, the first scoring guide for the Professional Development Travel Award (PDTA) was established in Resolution 1819-05 and the scoring guide for the Conference Presentation Travel Award (CPTA, formerly the GPC Travel Award) was updated in Resolution 1819-06; and
WHEREAS,	The AY19 Treasurer had modeled the PDTA guide after the existing CPTA scoring guide and had only made minor changes to the CPTA guide in order to allow both rubrics to become more intuitive and better suited for the current scoring process; and

- WHEREAS, Over the last academic year, the AY19 Treasurer administered three travel award cycles and observed inconsistences in scores given by the GPC Finance Committee members and other disadvantages that stem from the scoring guides; and
- **WHEREAS,** Inconsistent scoring makes the travel award allocation process less fair and can have magnified effects on the financial awards granted to applicants; and
- **WHEREAS,** The AY19 Treasurer has gained insights over the course of her term into how the scoring guides can be made less ambiguous and much more intuitive; therefore be it
- **RESOLVED,** By majority vote of the General Assembly here gathered that the Graduate Professional Council does approve replacing the scoring guides in Appendices A and C with the guides in Appendices B and D; and be it further
- **RESOLVED,** The GPC Finance Committee will use the two new scoring guides when evaluating travel award applications from the October 2019 cycle onward.
- **RESOLVED,** The "Overall Quality of the Statement of Intent" point allocation be increased from 10 points to 15 points, and the point allocation for the "Quality of the Student" in the Letter of Recommendation be reduced from 15 points to 10 points.

#### SUBMITTED,

Christina Wang, GPC Treasurer 2018-19

Nanxi Xu, GPC Treasurer 2019-20



---

# Appendix A – Existing CPTA Scoring Guide

	Excellent	Average	Minimal	Score
Application Form	Each of the following three areas are of high quality:	Two of the three areas are of high quality:	Fewer than two of the areas are of high quality:	
	☐ Application is completed thoroughly and accurately.	☐ Application is completed thoroughly and accurately.	☐ Application is completed thoroughly and accurately.	
	☐ Efforts were made to find lowest fares for transportation and lodging.	☐ Efforts were made to find lowest fares for transportation and lodging.	☐ Efforts were made to find lowest fares for transportation and lodging.	2 Points
	☐ Additional funding was requested within department and other organizations.	☐ Additional funding was requested within department and other organizations.	Additional funding was requested within department and other organizations.	Total
	(2 points)	(1-1.9 points)	(0-0.9 points)	
Statement of Intent	All of the four areas are discussed in detail:	Three of the four areas are discussed in detail:	Two or fewer of the four areas are discussed in detail:	
	□Content of presentation is presented in concise non-technical language □Personal importance of conference □Professional importance of conference □Reasons for specifically	□Content of presentation is presented in concise non-technical language □Personal importance of conference □Professional importance of conference □Reasons for specifically	□Content of presentation is presented in concise non-technical language □Personal importance of conference □Professional importance of conference □Reasons for specifically	6 Points Total
S	attending this conference (6 Points)	attending this conference (4-5.9 Points)	attending this conference (0-3.9 Points)	Total
tion	Both areas are discussed in detail:  Graduate Student App:	One area is discussed in detail:  Graduate Student App:	Both areas are vague or missing: Graduate Student App:	
Letter of Recommendation	☐ Importance of the conference to the student ☐ Quality of the student	□ Importance of the conference to the student □ Quality of the student	□Importance of the conference to the student □Quality of the student	
	Professional Student App: □Importance of Lab to the student □Quality of the student	Professional Student App: □Importance of Lab to the student □Quality of the student	Professional Student App: □Importance of Lab to the student □Quality of the student	2 Points Total
	(2 Points)	(1-1.9-Points)	(0-0.9 Points)	
Bonus Points	Both conditions are met:	One condition is met:	Neither condition is met:	
	□First time receiving the CPTA □Conference is international or national	□First time receiving the CPTA □Conference is international or national	□First time receiving the CPTA □Conference is international or national	0.2 Points Total
	(0.2 Points)	(0.1 Points)	(0 Points)	



---

# Appendix B – Proposed CPTA Scoring Guide for AY20 onwards

Application Item	Scoring Criteria	Score
	Thoroughness and accuracy of the application form	/10
Application Form	Efforts to reduce transportation and lodging costs	/10
Application Form	Efforts to seek additional funding	/ 5
	Subtotal	/25
	Overall quality of the Statement of Intent	/10
	Explanation of presentation content in concise, non-technical language	/10
Statement of	Explanation of conference's personal importance to applicant	/10
Intent	Explanation of conference's professional importance to applicant	/10
	Explanation of applicant's reasons for attending specifically this conference	/10
	Subtotal	/50
	Quality of the student	/15
Letter of Recommendation	Importance of the conference to this student	/10
	Subtotal	/25
	Applicant would be receiving the CPTA for the first time	/ 1
Bonus Points	Conference's membership is international or national rather than regional	/ 1
	Subtotal	/ 2

Total Score: \_\_\_\_/100 (maximum 102 possible)



---

# **Appendix C – Existing PDTA Scoring Guide**

	Excellent	Average	Minimal	Score
u u	Each of the following three areas are of high quality:	Two of the three areas are of high quality:	Fewer than two of the areas are of high quality:	
ı Fori	☐ Application is completed thoroughly and accurately.	☐ Application is completed thoroughly and accurately.	☐ Application is completed thoroughly and accurately.	
Application Form	☐ Efforts were made to find lowest fares for transportation and lodging.	☐ Efforts were made to find lowest fares for transportation and lodging.	☐ Efforts were made to find lowest fares for transportation and lodging.	2 Points
Api	☐ Additional funding was requested within department and other organizations.	☐ Additional funding was requested within department and other organizations.	☐ Additional funding was requested within department and other organizations.	Total
	(2 points)	(1-1.9 points)	(0-0.9 points)	
Statement of Intent	All of the three areas are discussed in detail:  □Personal importance of conference □Professional importance of conference □Reasons for specifically attending this conference  (6 Points)	Two of the three areas are discussed in detail:  □Personal importance of conference □Professional importance of conference □Reasons for specifically attending this conference  (4-5.9 Points)	Fewer than two of the areas are discussed in detail or are vague:  □Personal importance of conference □Professional importance of conference □Reasons for specifically attending this conference  (0-3.9 Points)	6 Points Total
Bonus		□First time receiving the PDT A	(0.1 Points)	0.1 Points Total

**Total Score** 



---

# Appendix D – Proposed PDTA Scoring Guide for AY20 onwards

Application Item	Scoring Criteria	Score
	Thoroughness and accuracy of the application form	/10
Application Four	Efforts to reduce transportation and lodging costs	/10
Application Form	Efforts to seek additional funding	/ 5
	Subtotal	/25
	Overall quality and professionalism of the Statement of Intent	/20
	Explanation of conference's personal importance to applicant	/10
Statement of Intent	Explanation of conference's professional importance to applicant	/10
	Explanation of applicant's reasons for attending specifically this conference	/10
	Subtotal	/50
Bonus Points	Applicant would be receiving the PDTA for the first time	/ 1

Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_/75 (maximum 76 possible)